

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/568,634	SUNDHOLM ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Darren W. Gorman	3752	

**All Participants:**

(1) Darren W. Gorman.

**Status of Application:** Allowed w/ Examiner's Amendment

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Clifford Mass (Req. #30,086).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 July 2008

**Time:** 3:15 (EST)

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Rejections under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as set forth under paragraph 5 of the office action mailed March 26, 2008*

Claims discussed:

1-11

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Applicant's representative to obtain approval for an Examiner's Amendment in order to allow the instant application. Specifically, there were several issues raised under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, in the Office Action mailed March 26, 2008, which were not addressed in the response filed June 19, 2008, in either the remarks section of the response or the claim amendments of the response. The Examiner's Amendment proposed by the Examiner overcomes all remaining outstanding issues of record with respect to the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Also, the proposed Examiner's Amendment includes non-substantive changes to improve the clarity of the claims. Applicant's representative agreed to the proposed Examiner's Amendment, as detailed in the correspondance mailed herewith.